



ISO Central Secretariat editorial comments*
Commentaires rédactionnels du Secrétariat central de l'ISO**

ISO/DIS 14963

ISO/TC 67/SC 4

* To be taken into consideration when preparing the revised draft.

** À prendre en considération lors de la préparation du projet révisé.

This document has been accepted editorially for distribution for the 2-month second DIS vote. However, it was noted that, in addition to the comments written for the previous DIS evaluation, the comments below should be taken into account when preparing the FDIS text.

1) There is a major editorial problem with many of the Figures. Please see Part 2 (2001) of the ISO/IEC Directives, *Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards*, subclause 6.6.5 and in particular 6.6.5.5 and the Example shown.

Note that although figures must be language-independent, this does not mean they cannot contain numbers! All dimensions should be shown directly on the drawing itself, not in a Key unless it represents some sort of exception. See the Example in Directives Part 2. These dimensions can be footnoted with a, b, etc. to add further information. Physical elements of the part illustrated in the drawing are given numbers which are explained in a Key.

For example, Figure 10 should have 3 elements numbered 1, 2 and 3 which are Hub, Single sprocket and Double sprocket. These three numbers should be indicated with leader lines on the drawing and their meaning explained in a Key. All other information, which involves dimensioning, must appear directly on the drawing, with millimetre dimensions preceding inch dimensions, e.g. 171,45 (6,75). The top right-hand side of the drawing should read "Dimensions in millimetres (inches)"

It is much preferable that the WG makes such changes as necessary in ALL figures, rather than leaving them for the Central Secretariat to do. This will save time and avoid introduction of error.

2) It would be helpful if the Directives Part 2 were also consulted for Table layout (borders, bolding, etc.). See subclause 6.6.6.

3) Comment: I have seen many documents with GO--NO GO gauges but I have not seen any documents with GO--NOT GO gauges. Is this a change in terminology? If not, please use the more common GO--NO GO terminology.

Thanks in advance for your kind cooperation.

Technical Editor(s) Rédacteur(s) technique(s)	Hornfeld Carolyn (E-mail:)	Date 2002-05-16
--	-----------------------------	---------------------------