



ISO Central Secretariat editorial comments*
Commentaires rédactionnels du Secrétariat central de l'ISO**

ISO/DIS 15463.2

ISO/TC 67/SC 5

* To be taken into consideration when preparing the revised draft.

** À prendre en considération lors de la préparation du projet révisé.

Unfortunately this document has not been accepted editorially for circulation as a second DIS. The following comments need to be taken into consideration and a corrected text submitted to ISO/CS.

- 1) Requirements are not permitted in the Introduction; change "shall" to "should".
- 2) Headings in general: ISO style prefers "Evaluation of ..." rather than "Procedure for evaluation of..." or "Procedure for evaluating..." as it is more succinct. Please correct.
- 3) The Scope needs clarification in that "recommended procedures" and "recommended practices" are not mandatory in ISO language. Are you only giving guidelines? If so, there should be no normative references and no "shall" language indicating requirements. Please look at ISO/IEC Directives Part 2, subclause 6.2.1 and the wording suggested therein.
- 4) Please use exactly the same wording in the Conformance clause as was used in ISO 11960:2001, as far as possible. This was carefully prepared to align with the ISO point of view and style. No need to reinvent the wheel.
- 5) Normative references: It is not at all clear why API 5CT is referenced as an alternative to ISO 11960 when the scope says this doc. applies to NEW OCTG, and 11960 has been back-adopted by API. Can you pls review this? The usage in clause 5 Application is particularly confusing with the multiple citations and wording "whichever is applicable" which is not clarified. As ISO 11961 and ISO 10422 are both under revision I presume dual citing will no longer be necessary once the revisions are published. Please also review.
- 5) Terms and definitions: (See ISO 10241 and annex C of the ISO/IEC Directives Part 2)

Do you really need 227 definitions in this document? see comments below to eliminate some of them.

Do not define current technical terms or dictionary terms unless they have a specific meaning in the relevant context, i.e delete terms 4.1.3, 4.1.4, 4.1.45, 4.1.66, 4.1.71, 4.1.75, 4.1.76, 4.1.103, 4.1.159, 4.1.165, 4.1.170, 4.1.196, 4.1.209, 4.1.212, 4.1.213, 4.1.216, 4.1.217, 4.1.220, 4.1.221,

4.1.55 is not a definition of the term, pls rewrite

4.1.78 to 4.1.80: do not invert word order but use it as in natural speech.

Do not put synonyms or abbreviations in parentheses but on second line as admitted terms.

Do not put synonyms as separate entry (delete 4.1.8, 4.1.183, 4.1.205).

ID (4.1.90) and OD (4.1.139) are abbreviations and do not belong in a list of defined terms.

6) HB is NOT the international symbol for Brinell hardness; it is HBW. Use of the steel ball is not in acc. with international standardization. If you wish to indicate (permit) use of the steel ball, the symbol is HBS. Use both symbols if both are permitted. Surely use of the tungsten ball is preferred. However, the symbol HB in any case is not used in the entire text, as Brinell hardness is not covered, so it should be deleted from the list.

Technical Editor(s) Rédacteur(s) technique(s)	Hornfeld Carolyn (E-mail:)	Date 2002-04-04
--	-----------------------------	---------------------------

7) 5.1 Again, ISO does not use the term "recommended practice" -- this is API. A recommendation is not a requirement and is not mandatory for compliance with an ISO standard.

8) Pls abbreviate units when preceded by a number. The abbrev. for lux is lx, for amperes it is A. What is the unit "A-t" in 15.3.3? (Clause 4 gives NI as abbrev. for ampere-turns.)

9) 19.6.3 and 19.6.5. "Refer to XX.XX" as the sole text in a subclause is not helpful to the user. Is it a requirement to follow XX.XX or just for information? Please change text to clarify what you mean here.

10) 19.10.3 " The maximum permissible depth of a visible imperfection in the Leu or Liu areas of the upsets of plain-end drill pipe is determined from respective ISO/API diameter allowances. The minimum allowable value of Dou is used for outside surface imperfections. The maximum allowable value of dou is used for inside surface imperfections."

Do you mean upset inside/outside length/diameter here? If so the symbols should appear as in subclause 4.2.

11) Annexes A and C: when all dimensions are in the same units, the units shall be put in the upper right of the table. See Example in ISO/IEC Directives Part 2, 6.6.6.4.

Pls italicize symbols in tables as well as text.

Table A.5 (continued) mistakenly labelled Table A.6-- pls correct and renumber subsequent tables.

Tables A.14/C.13 what are the units please?

12) Annex B: (Note this comment applies to drawings submitted with FDIS text, but you should be aware of the requirement.) Please remove all language-dependent lettering from the drawings (excepting verbatim lettering which is actually stamped on pipe). This information shall be given in a Key or notes/footnotes accompanying the drawing. See ISO/IEC Directives Part 2, 6.6.5.5.